NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.257; Breast cancer (first-line treatment of metastatic hormone-receptor positive) - lapatinib and trastuzumab (in combi with an aromatase inhibitor)

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| CompanyNovartis (lapatinib) | General Allied Health Professionals Federation |
| Roche Products (trastuzumab) | Board of Community Health Councils in Wales |
| Patient/carer groups Afiya Trust Black Health Agency Breakthrough Breast Cancer Breast Cancer Campaign Breast Cancer Care Breast Cancer UK Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Equalities National Council Haven HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity | British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium |
| Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Women's Health Concern | Comparator manufacturers Accord Healthcare (anastrozole, letrozole) Actavis UK (anastrozole, letrozole) AstraZeneca (anastrozole) Novartis Pharmaceuticals (letrozole) Sandoz (anastrozole) Zentiva (anastrozole, letrozole) |
| Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing | Relevant research groups Against Breast Cancer Breast Cancer Hope Breast Cancer Research Trust Cochrane Breast Cancer Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network |

NICE Technology Appraisal No.257; Breast cancer (first-line treatment of metastatic hormone-receptor positive) - lapatinib and trastuzumab (in combi with an aromatase inhibitor)
Issue date: June 2015
Page 1 of 2

- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Radiologists
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society and College of Radiographers
- UK Health Forum
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society

Others

- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS High Weald Lewes Havens CCG
- NHS Portsmouth CCG
- Welsh Government

- National Institute for Health Research
- Pro-Cancer Research Fund

Assessment Group

- Assessment Group tbc
- National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

Associated Guideline Groups

 National Collaborating Centre for Cancer

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary. All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.

Page 3 of 2