Page 1 of 3

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Denosumab for prolonging bone metastasis-free survival in hormone-refractory prostate cancer

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators (pre-referral)

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Manufacturers/sponsors	 General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium Possible comparator manufacturer(s) None Relevant research groups Cochrane Prostatic Diseases and Urologic Cancers Group Institute of Cancer Research
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Sue Ryder Care	National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research National
• Tenovus	National Cancer Research NetworkNational Institute for Health Research
Destancianal manage	National Institute for Health ResearchOvarian and Prostate Cancer Research
Professional groups	Trust
Association of Cancer Physicians British Association for Carriage to the	Pro Cancer Research Fund
 British Association for Services to the Elderly 	Prostate Action
British Association of Urological	Prostate Cancer Research Centre

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of denosumab for prolonging bone metastasis-free survival in hormone-refractory prostate cancer

Issue date: July 2011

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Nurses British Association of Urological Surgeons British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Prostate Group British Orthopaedic Oncology Society British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK Pelican Cancer Foundation Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS Bedfordshire NHS City and Hackney Welsh Government 	 Prostate Cancer Research Foundation Prostate UK Research Institute for the Care of Older People Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups tbc

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: July 2011 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

Issue date: July 2011 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.