NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Vemurafenib for the treatment of unresectable locally advanced or metastatic, BRAF^{V600} mutation positive malignant melanoma

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
 Manufacturers/sponsors Roche Products (vemurafenib) Patient/carer groups Action for Children (formerly known as) NCH – The Children's Charity Action for Sick Children Afiya Trust Association for Children with Life Threatening or Terminal Conditions Black Health Agency Cancer 52 Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality CLIC Sargent Counsel and Care Equalities National Council Factor 50 	 General Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Health Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust
 Factor 50 Helen Rollason Heal Cancer Charity Help Adolescents with Cancer Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Muslim Health Network National Alliance of Childhood Cancer Parent Organisations National Children's Bureau Rarer Cancers Foundation Skcin - Karen Clifford Skin Cancer Charity Skin Care Campaign South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Teenage Cancer Trust 	 Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator manufacturer(s) Bayer (dacarbazine) Bristol-Myers Squibb (ipilimumab) Hospira UK (dacarbazine) Medac UK(dacarbazine) PLIVA Pharma (dacarbazine) Relevant research groups British Society for Dermatological Surgery Cochrane Skin Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit Melanoma Study group Myfanwy Townsend Melanoma Research Fund

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed appraisal of vemurafenib for the treatment of unresectable locally advanced or metastatic, BRAFV600 mutation positive malignant melanoma

Issue date: November 2011 Page 1 of 4

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Tenovus Well Child Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Association for Services to the Elderly British Association for Surgical Oncology (BASO) British Association of Dermatologists British Association of Skin Cancer Specialist Nurses British Dermatological Nursing Group British Geriatrics Society British Institute for Radiologists British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Skin Foundation Cancer Networks Pharmacists Forum Cancer Research UK National Pharmacy Association Primary Care Dermatology Society Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of Fanaesthetists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS Birmingham East and North NHS East Lancashire Welsh Assembly Government 	 National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Research Institute of the Care of Older People Skin Cancer Research Fund Skin Research Centre Skin Treatment & Research Trust Evidence Review Group Liverpool Reviews & Implementation Group, University of Liverpool National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups tbc

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed appraisal of vemurafenib for the treatment of unresectable locally

advanced or metastatic, BRAFV600 mutation positive malignant melanoma

Issue date: November 2011 Page 2 of 4 NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: November 2011 Page 3 of 4

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed appraisal of vemurafenib for the treatment of unresectable locally advanced or metastatic, BRAFV600 mutation positive malignant melanoma

Issue date: November 2011 Page 4 of 4

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.