

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

MTA/STA Total hip replacement and resurfacing arthroplasty for the treatment of pain or disability resulting from end stage arthritis of the hip (Review of technology appraisal guidance 2 and 44)

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

During the draft scope consultation, consultees said that rates of total joint surgery in practice may vary by age, gender, ethnicity, socioeconomic status and patient characteristics despite there being no evidence that such factors affect outcome. It also was raised by some consultees that hip resurfacing arthroplasty may be contraindicated in some populations with a protected characteristic under equality legislation (women). When the review of TA2 and TA44 was being considered it was noted in the proposal paper for the review that TA2 and TA44 were published before the current NICE equalities scheme was implemented. In particular it noted that metal on metal resurfacing is currently recommended principally in younger, more active patients.

The Committee noted that there Equalities legislation has changed since TA2 and TA44

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the multiple technology appraisal of tTotal hip replacement and resurfacing arthroplasty for the treatment of pain or disability resulting from end stage arthritis of the hip (Review of technology appraisal guidance 2 and 44)

Issue date: December 2014

No

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

None

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

7. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?

This is discussed in the equalities considerations and social value

judgements section of the Summary of Appraisal Committee's Key Considerations table in the appraisal consultation document.

Approved by Associate Director (name): Elisabeth George

Date: 10/10/2013

Final appraisal determination

(when an ACD issued)

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

No

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make

to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

No

5. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

The Summary of Appraisals Committee's key conclusions states: No equality issues were raised in the assessment report, the manufacturer's submissions or during consultation on the assessment report or the Committee's discussions.

Approved by Centre or Programme Director (name): Meindert Boysen

Date: 06/01/2014

Final Appraisal Determination

(when no ACD was issued)

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the Committee, and, if so, how?

[Insert response here]

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

[Insert response here]

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the Committee, and, if so, how has the Committee addressed these?

[Insert response here]

4. Do the recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

[Insert response here]

5. Is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

[Insert response here]

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the multiple technology appraisal of total hip replacement and resurfacing arthroplasty for the treatment of pain or disability resulting from end stage arthritis of the hip (Review of technology appraisal guidance 2 and 44)

Issue date: December 2014

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the Committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

[Insert response here]

7. Have the Committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

[Insert response here]

Approved by Programme Director (name):

Date: [xx/xx/year]