NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.304; Total hip replacement and resurfacing arthroplasty for the treatment of pain or disability resulting from end stage arthritis of the hip

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company/sponsor	General commentators
ADLER ORTHO	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Amplitude	Association of British Healthcare
Aquilant	Industries
B. Braun Medical Ltd	Board of Community Health Councils in
CeramTec	Wales
Corin Group plc	British National Formulary
 DePuy Synthes (JnJ) 	Care Quality Commission
EVOLUTIS	Department of Health, Social Services
Exactech	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
FH ORTHOPEDICS	EUCOMED
 Implants International 	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
JRI Orthopaedics	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Lima orthopaedics UK	Regulatory Agency
Mathys Medical	National Association of Primary Care
MatOrtho Limited	National Joint Registry
Medacta UK Limited	National Pharmacy Association
Microport	NHS Alliance
Orthodynamics Ltd	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Peter Brehm GMBH	NHS Confederation
SERF	NHS Supply Chain
Sheffield Medical Products Ltd,	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Smith & Nephew Orthopaedics Ltd	
Stryker	<u>Comparators</u>
Zimmer Biomet	ADLER ORTHO
	Amplitude
Patient/carer groups	Aquilant
Action on Pain	B. Braun Medical Ltd
Arthritis Action	CeramTec
Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Alliance	Corin Group plc
Arthritis Care	 DePuy Synthes (JnJ)
Disability Rights UK	EVOLUTIS
Leonard Cheshire Disability	Exactech
Muslim Council of Britain	FH ORTHOPEDICS
	Implants International

NICE Technology Appraisal No. 304; Total hip replacement and resurfacing arthroplasty for the treatment of pain or disability resulting from end stage arthritis of the hip Issue date: February 2017

 Pain Concern Pain Relief Foundation Pain UK South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Women's Health Concern Professional groups Association of Anaesthetists Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine British Orthopaedic Association British Pain Society British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine British Society of Physiotherapy College of Occupational Therapists Physiotherapy Pain Association Primary Care Rheumatology Society Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association 	 JRI Orthopaedics UK Lima orthopaedics UK Mathys Medical MatOrtho Limited Medacta UK Limited Microport Orthodynamics Ltd Peter Brehm GMBH SERF Sheffield Medical Products Ltd, Smith & Nephew Orthopaedics Ltd Stryker Zimmer Biomet Relevant research groups Arthritis Research UK Bone Research Society Chronic Pain Policy Coalition Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group Institute for Ageing and Health MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Orthopaedic Research UK Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
--	---

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

<u>Commentators</u>

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.