NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Selpercatinib for RET fusion-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer [ID3743]

Final stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company Eli Lilly (selpercatinib) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency British Lung Foundation Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care UK Lung Cancer Coalition Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Respiratory Nurse Specialists British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Thoracic Oncology Group British Thoracic Society Cancer Research UK Lung Cancer Nursing UK National Heart and Lung Institute Primary Care Respiratory Society UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists	 General All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association for Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (cisplatin, carboplatin, docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel, vinorelbine) Actavis UK (gemcitabine, paclitaxel, pemetrexed, vinorelbine) Celgene (paclitaxel, nab-paclitaxel) Consilient Health Ltd (carboplatin, vinorelbine) Dr Reddy's Laboratories (docetaxel, pemetrexed) Fresenius Kabi (docetaxel, paclitaxel)
Royal College of Physicians	

Stakeholder list for the single technology appraisal of selpercatinib for RET fusion-positive advanced non-small-cell lung cancer [ID3743]. Issue date: August 2020.

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Royal College of Radiologists Fresenius Kabi Oncology Royal College of Surgeons (carboplatin, gemcitabine) Royal Pharmaceutical Society Hospira UK (cisplatin, carboplatin, docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel) Royal Society of Medicine Medac GmbH (vinorelbine) Society and College of Radiographers Merck Sharp & Dohme **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association** (pembrolizumab) **UK Oncology Nursing Society** • Pfizer (carboplatin, cisplatin, docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel) **Others** Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine) Department of Health and Social Care Roche (atezolizumab, bevacizumab, **NHS** England erlotinib) NHS Dorset CCG Sandoz (cisplatin) NHS Dartford, Gravesham and Swanley Sanofi (docetaxel) CCG Seacross pharmaceuticals Welsh Government (docetaxel, paclitaxel) Sun Pharma (carboplatin, gemcitabine) Teva UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, docetaxel, paclitaxel) Relevant research groups • Cochrane Lung Cancer Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Pro-Cancer Research Fund

Associated Public Health Groups
Public Health England
Public Health Wales

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.