NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Pembrolizumab for treating relapsed or refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma after multi-agent chemotherapy

Provisional stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
 Merck Sharp & Dohme (pembrolizumab) 	 Allied Health Professionals Federation All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groupsAction for Sick ChildrenAfrican Caribbean Leukaemia TrustAnthony NolanBlack Health AgencyBlood Cancer UKCancer Black CareCancer EqualityCancer 52Children with CancerChildhood Cancer Parents AllianceDKMSEqualities National CouncilHelen Rollason Cancer CharityIndependent Cancer Patients VoiceLeukaemia Cancer SocietyLeukaemia CARELymphoma ActionMacmillan Cancer SupportMaggie's CentresMarie Curie	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee Possible comparator companies Takeda (brentuximab vedotin) Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies
 Muslim Council of Britain Solving Kids Cancer South Asian Health Foundation 	Group Genomics England
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance Teenage Cancer Trust Tenovus Cancer Care Together for short lives 	 Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters Lymphoma Research Trust
Together for short lives	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
 <u>Professional groups</u> Association of Cancer Physicians 	 National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network

Provisional stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of pembrolizumab for treating relapsed or refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma after multi-agent chemotherapy Issue date: July 2020

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2020.

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology Cancer Research UK Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group NHS Blood and Transplant Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 National Institute for Health Research <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS Bromley CCG NHS England NHS North Durham CCG Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Provisional stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of pembrolizumab for treating relapsed or refractory classical Hodgkin lymphoma after multi-agent chemotherapy Issue date: July 2020 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2020.

Definitions:

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.