NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Adefovir dipivoxil and peginterferon alfa-2a for chronic hepatitis B

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Manufacturers/sponsors Gilead Sciences Roche Products Ltd Patient/carer groups British Liver Trust Long Term Medical Conditions Alliance Children's Liver Disease Foundation 	 General Association of Welsh Community Health Councils National Public Health Service for Wales NHS Confederation NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency NHS Quality Improvement Scotland British National Formulary
 Professional groups Royal College of Nursing Royal Pharmaceutical Society British Liver Nurses Forum Hepatitis Nurse Specialist Forum Health Protection Agency Community Practitioners' & Health Visitors Association British Society of Gastroenterology Infection Control Nurses Association Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Others Department of Health Lambeth PCT Burnley, Pendle and Rossendale PCT Welsh Assembly Government 	 Comparator manufacturers Schering-Plough Ltd GlaxoSmithKline Relevant research groups British Association for the Study of the Liver Foundation for Liver Research (formerly the Liver Research Trust) MRC Clinical Trials Unit Assessment team Southampton Health Technology Assessment Centre (SHTAC) National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government; relevant NHS organisations in England and local health boards in Wales.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and consultee organisations representing patient/carers and professionals can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, the *British National Formulary*, and the British Medical Association.

Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the NHS Research and Development Health Technology Assessment Programme [HTA Programme] to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).