NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Selinexor with low-dose dexamethasone for treating refractory multiple myeloma ID1535

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
CompanyKaryopharm Therapeutics (selinexor)Patient/carer group	 General All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation
 Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency Bloodwise Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer52 DKMS HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE Lymphoma Action Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie 	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Muslim Council of Britain Myeloma UK South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Blood Transfusion Society British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology 	 Possible comparator companies Accord (bendamustine) Aspire Pharma (dexamethasone) Celgene (lenalidomide, pomalidomide) Concordia International (dexamethasone) Consilient Health (dexamethasone) Ethypharm (dexamethasone) Glenmark Pharmaceuticals (dexamethasone) Hameln Pharmaceuticals (dexamethasone) Janssen-Cilag (bortezomib, daratumumab)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the technology appraisal of selinexor with low-dose dexamethasone for treating refractory multiple myeloma ID1535 Issue date: January 2019

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Transplantation Society Cancer Research UK ESPRIT NHS Blood and Transplant Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Health Forum UK Myeloma Forum 	 Martindale Pharma (dexamethasone) Medac (bendamustine) Novartis Pharmaceuticals (dexamethasone, panobinostat) Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (dexamethasone) Takeda (ixazomib) Zentiva (bendamustine) Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Leuka
 UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute
 Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Brent CCG 	 National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research
 NHS South Lincolnshire CCG Welsh Government 	Associated Public Health GroupsPublic Health EnglandPublic Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.